



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,576	12/29/2000	Manoj Khare	42390P9874	1630	
75	7590 03/03/2004			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			HO, THANG H		
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire	Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CA 90025-1026		2188		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	リノ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ç
u a	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0
Advisory Action	09/752,576	KHARE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thang H Ho	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of th (1) a timely filed amendmoeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply ent which places the applica	tion in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	. p)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	ailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WT06.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The set have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the (1) timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ire later than SIX MONTHS from VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON The date on which the petition ur od of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory perion Office later than three months af	the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Inder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate amount of the fee. The appropriate appropriate amount of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. Other: Applicant's arguments have been fully consi	n December 18, 2003 for Exa	miner's responses to argument	ts. Futhermore,
with respect to "receiving" as being claimed by Applicants a Applicants' disclosure on page 16, line 7 "receiving a snoop	and monitoring by <u>Hamagu</u> o or read request".	cni, the two are anaiogous. Ple Mano K Mano PADMANAS	dranoch
		Mario PADMONAS	MAN

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

SUPERVISORY PATION Part of Paper No. 13